Piled Modifiers, Buried Verbs, and Other Turgid Prose in the *American Political Science Review*

Peter DeScioli, Stony Brook University, USA Steven Pinker, Harvard University, USA

ABSTRACT Academic writing is notoriously difficult to read. Can political science do better? To assess the state of prose in political science, we examined a recent issue of the *American Political Science Review*. We evaluated the articles according to the basic principles of style endorsed by writing experts. We find that the writing suffers most from heavy noun phrases in forms such as noun noun noun and adjective adjective noun noun. Further, we describe five contributors that swell noun phrases: piled modifiers, needless words, nebulous nouns, missing prepositions, and buried verbs. We document more than a thousand examples and demonstrate how to revise each one with principles of style. We also draw on research in cognitive science to explain why these constructions confuse, mislead, and distract readers.

n 2010, President Obama signed into law the Plain Writing Act, which requires federal agencies to write in clear language. The law offers hope to citizens everywhere who squint in agony to decode the cumbersome terminology, baffling abbreviations, and tortuous circumlocutions that bureaucrats reel off with thoughtless abandon. When citizens need facts about health care, housing, and immigration, they should not have to suffer through clumsy jargon and mindbending syntax. The government should make sense.

So, too, should the articles *about* government, particularly in the premier journals of political science. Yet, scholarly writing is infamously verbose, vague, and tedious to read. Surveys of academic journals show that most scholars across all fields flout the basic principles of good writing (Sword 2012a). More generally, specialists from every profession contrive idiosyncratic terms and opaque phrases that drag down their sentences. Can political science, like the government, do better?

Take this sentence from a recent article:

Within these cases, increasing publicity is likely to be consequential to the de-legitimation of non-state violence when three important conditions obtain. The same ideas could be expressed more concisely and concretely:

Publicity tends to undermine vigilantes under three conditions.

We collected this example and over a thousand more from an issue of the *American Political Science Review (APSR)*. We looked for the most common faults that encumber writing in political science. In this article, we describe these problems and the principles of style for improving them.

Many sentences in the *APSR* suffer from heavy noun phrases suspended by weak verbs such as *be*, *have*, *do*, and *make*. The noun phrases acquire their bulk when the writer clusters multiple nouns and adjectives in forms such as noun noun noun and adjective adjective noun noun. The last noun in the cluster is the head of the noun phrase, and the words before it are modifiers that modify the head. Here are some examples with the head of each phrase underlined:

- precinct-level incumbent party electoral support
- socially generated political information
- canonical probabilistic record linkage model
- today's cutting-edge quantitative social science research
- more nationally focused and ideologically conservative coverage
- knowledge-based service sector economy
- borderline statistically significant five-percentage-point increase

Peter DeScioli (b) is associate professor of political science at Stony Brook University. He can be reached at pdescioli@gmail.com.

Steven Pinker ^[D] *is Johnstone Family professor of psychology at Harvard University. He can be reached at pinker@wjh.harvard.edu.*

- historical monthly mean air temperature and precipitation data
- large-scale, racially-disparate voter demobilization
- political science's deliberative democracy and organizational behavior's procedural justice <u>literatures</u>

We will see that these swollen phrases defy the principles of good writing found in every style manual (Fowler 1926; Garner 2003; Gowers 1954; Strunk and White 1959; Sword 2012a; Williams 1990; Zinsser 2006). Specifically, we examine five contributors to heavy noun phrases and other turgid prose: piled modifiers that obstruct the head noun at the end; needless words like redundant heads and modifiers; nebulous nouns that add little meaning and require too many modifiers; missing prepositions that could better specify relations; and buried verbs that entomb actions in static nouns.

We also explain why these constructions feel so ungainly, invoking research in cognitive science about how people comprehend written language (Pinker 2014). Namely, a sentence feels burdensome when our mind's parser struggles to resolve lengthy phrases, deep nesting, and ambiguous branching that tax its working memory.

Good writing, then, is not only a matter of aesthetics but also a matter of cognitive costs and benefits. The cost is the time and effort a reader spends to understand a sentence, and the benefit is the new understanding it conveys. Fluent writing is more profitable for readers, conveying more meaning at a lower cost.

The main reason to write clearly is obvious: the point of writing is to convey ideas to readers so obscure writing wastes our efforts and diminishes our research. Do readers understand our ideas as we intended? Do they stop on the first page and decide it is not worth decoding? If readers misunderstand or give up, the research might as well not exist. And even if readers eventually decipher the message, it is still inconsiderate to waste their time and effort. This reflects badly on the writer, which brings up a third reason: good writing earns the reader's trust. Investigators make many decisions behind the scenes that affect the quality of their work. When their writing is accurate, logical, and thoughtful, readers can be reassured that the rest of the research was conducted with the same care. Table 1 presents sentences that illustrate common faults. As we mentioned, a persistent problem is the bloated noun phrase. Some sentences teeter under the load of not just one but three or four of these phrases. In every case, the sentence flows more gracefully when we revise the bulky phrases. Let's look at the principles for doing so.

Piled Modifiers

Noun phrases become unwieldy when writers pile modifiers before the head. Consider this example:

Contrary to <u>abstract national economic aggregates</u> (e.g., GDP or unemployment rates), which they receive in the form of <u>mass-</u> mediated—and politically disputed—information, voters can gauge (local) economic conditions "au natural" from <u>various direct and</u> more subtle cues in their residential setting.

Figure 1 shows the first pile, *abstract national economic aggregates*. The head of the phrase is the noun *aggregates* (underlined) at the end, which has three modifiers piled before it. To unstack the pile, we substitute *indicators* as the head and move the modifiers into a prepositional phrase that follows the head. We omit the modifier *abstract* because it is obvious. After revising the remaining piles, we can state the point more directly:

Voters do not need to gauge the local economy from indicators of the national economy such as GDP or unemployment; they can just look around their neighborhood.

Piled modifiers are easy to recognize and revise. Scan a sentence for nouns and count the modifiers before each one. Phrases with more than one modifier deserve a second look. Often, we can unpile the modifiers and lay them out in prepositional phrases or relative clauses (modifiers introduced by *that* or a *wh*-word).

Modifiers are not the same as adjectives. In modern linguistics, grammatical functions such as modifier, subject, object, and head are distinct from grammatical categories (parts of speech) such as noun, verb, and adjective. Nouns can be modified by other nouns, by verbs, or by entire phrases. For example, the phrase *highly connected voter networks* (table 1, first sentence) consists of the head noun *networks* preceded by three modifiers: the adverb *highly*, the adjective *connected* (derived from the verb *connect*), and the noun *voter*.

The main reason to write clearly is obvious: the point of writing is to convey ideas to readers so obscure writing wastes our efforts and diminishes our research.

TURGID PROSE IN POLITICAL SCIENCE

To assess the state of prose in political science, we examined 18 articles from a recent issue of the *APSR* (volume 113, issue 2). We analyzed the articles according to the principles endorsed by experts in English usage (Fowler 1926; Garner 2003; Gowers 1954; Strunk and White 1959; Sword 2012a; Williams 1990; Zinsser 2006), justified and refined with insights from the psychology of language (Pinker 2014). We also follow the methods of usage guides: we present a principle, provide examples that violate the principle, and revise the examples to show how they improve. To document common problems, we collected more than 1,000 errant phrases and revised each one. We examine subsets of these examples and present the full set with citations in the online appendix. Writing experts advise authors to unpile modifiers. The reasons begin with the maxim to apply modifiers sparingly. Mark Twain (1880) famously remarked, "When you catch an adjective, kill it. No, I don't mean utterly, but kill most of them—then the rest will be valuable." In *The Elements of Style*, Strunk and White advise, "Write with nouns and verbs, not with adjectives and adverbs" (1959, 68). These authors conflated the grammatical category "adjective" with the grammatical function "modifier," but the point is the same: effective writing emphasizes "nouns and verbs, not their assistants" (Strunk and White 1959, 68).

The problem only worsens when the modifiers pile up. Worst of all is the noun pile, in which the head noun is crushed by a mound of

Table 1 Original and Revised Sentences from the APSR

ORIGINAL	REVISED
We propose a simple model in which incumbent malfeasance revelations can facilitate coordination around less malfeasant challenger parties in highly connected voter networks, even when voters update favorably about incumbent party malfeasance.	We propose a simple model in which <u>networks of voters</u> use <u>revelations of an</u> incumbent's malfeasance to coordinate with one another and support the <u>challengers</u> , even when the voters are biased to favor the <u>incumbent</u> .
Socially generated information affected participants' subjective evaluations of the president, even if it did not affect evaluations of the economy.	$\underline{\text{News}}$ learned from peers influenced participants' $\underline{\text{opinions}}$ of the president but not the economy.
When the state is predatory, the availability of informal enforcement mechanisms, which are stronger in homogeneous communities, can facilitate the provision of local public goods and support private economic activity.	When the state is predatory, communities with a shared culture are better at <u>enforcing</u> cooperation to provide <u>public goods</u> and support <u>trade</u> .
Extreme policy positions that may emerge from narrow coalitions yield (or protect) extreme distributional outcomes, from highly equal communistic regimes to highly unequal elite-dominated regimes.	Narrow coalitions may choose <u>extreme policies</u> that <u>distribute wealth very</u> equally or <u>very unequally</u> .
Even though consumers on average appear to prefer the more local-focused and ideologically moderate (pre-Sinclair) mix of coverage to the more national-focused and ideologically conservative (post-Sinclair) mix, Sinclair management still opted to reduce local heterogeneity in coverage across its stations by substituting centrally produced, nationally focused conservative segments for locally produced, less partisan content.	Although consumers appear to prefer <u>local news without a political slant</u> . Sinclair still shifted coverage toward <u>national news with a conservative slant</u> .
Prior causally-oriented research has estimated cues' effects in exogenous networks, but not in the naturally-occurring communication networks that legislators themselves choose to form.	Previous experiments examined how legislators learn from peers they were assigned to, but not how they learn from peers they choose themselves.

Note: The underlined text indicates heavy noun phrases on the left and the corresponding revisions on the right.

Figure 1 Piled Modifiers and an Unpiled Revision



other nouns conscripted as modifiers. Here are some examples from the *APSR*:

- incumbent party vote share
- networks' coordination and information diffusion <u>mechan-isms</u>
- fixed-effects dynamic panel regression models
- · postdemocratization income inequality dynamics
- target group's detection technology
- · individual-level housing price change variable
- PCC's street-level drug business
- · accountability and preference aggregation functions
- absolute value percentage-point shifts

Gowers (1954, 103) calls this problem the "headline phrase" caused by the "excessive use of nouns as adjectives," and he laments that "its abuse is corrupting English prose." In *Garner's Modern American Usage*, Garner calls this a "noun plague," and he explains:

Readability typically plummets when three words that are ordinarily nouns follow in succession...as when writers refer to a *participation program principal category* or the *retiree benefit explanation procedure.* (2003, 557)

The guidelines for the Plain Writing Act advise writers to "avoid noun strings" (plainlanguage.gov). In *On Writing Well*, Zinsser (2006, 76) warns of the "disease that strings two or three nouns together....Nobody goes broke now; we have money problem areas. It no longer rains; we have precipitation activity or a thunderstorm probability situation. Please, let it rain."

In *The Sense of Style*, Pinker (2014) shows how the classic maxims of writing are consequences of the psychology of understanding language. The mind represents meanings in a web of ideas. The nodes are concepts that represent people, objects, places, and events; the links between the nodes represent their attributes and relations. To communicate a portion of this web to another person, the speaker or writer must linearize it into a string of words that can be produced one at a time. After receiving this string, the listener or reader must recover the corresponding portion of the speaker's web of ideas. We perform this magic by using rules of syntax as a cipher to convert networks of concepts into strings of words and back again. When the syntax is convoluted, the mind becomes taxed by unresolved fragments of trees that burden working memory.

A writer who piles modifiers places several cognitive hurdles in the path of the reader (Pinker 2014). In the syntax of English, the head of the noun phrase precedes its complements and generally appears as the first or second word in the phrase. Piled modifiers tax working memory because the reader encounters a series of modifiers before finding out what they modify. Further, the piled modifiers create ambiguity because each modifier could apply to the head or to another modifier in between (Stageburg 1968). For example, in *canonical probabilistic record linkage model*, what is probabilistic: the record, the linkage, or the model? Even when it eventually becomes clear, ambiguous syntax delays the reader's parser as it evaluates multiple branchings that are consistent with the string of words.

The costs multiply when we add several piles to a sentence and then combine these convoluted sentences into inscrutable paragraphs. A reader can concentrate to resolve a heavy phrase every so often but one after another soon becomes illegible. Across sentences and paragraphs, readers need to keep track of the characters and events that connect the author's arguments and narrative (Pinker 2014; Williams 1990). They look for the nouns—particularly the head nouns—to find the main characters, including the ideas and facts of an argument. When the head nouns are crowded by piles of modifiers, readers can easily lose the thread. exacerbated reaction to a contemporaneous trigger simplifies to a momentary overreaction. The phrase could potentially be imposing negative externalities on the quality simplifies to could be reducing the quality.

Trimming needless words reduces the cognitive demands on the reader. Every additional word forces the reader's mind to recall its meaning and to fit it into the sentence's syntactic tree (Pinker 2014). Each time we repeat a bulky phrase, the costs accumulate. Readers, moreover, assume that each word adds a new element of meaning (Grice 1975). When words are redundant or superfluous, the reader stalls to look for a new actor or object or quality where none is to be found.

Nebulous Nouns

Noun phrases may be clouded by nebulous nouns. Table A2 in the online appendix presents examples. To clear them up, replace abstractions with more precise and imaginable words. Strunk and White (1959, 21) advise, "Prefer the specific to the general, the definite to the vague, the concrete to the abstract." Garner (2003, 9) calls the problem "abstractitis."

The costs multiply when we add several piles to a sentence and then combine these convoluted sentences into inscrutable paragraphs.

Needless Words

Needless words swell noun phrases and sentences. Let's look at some examples from the *APSR* presented in table A1 in the online appendix. We can revise them by following the prime directive from Strunk and White (1959, 23): "Omit needless words."

Many modifiers are implied by the head noun or previous material. In an article about merging data, the analyses must follow the merge so there is no need to specify *post-merge analyses* (18 times). Stating that the mayor is malfeasant generally implies that challengers are not so an author probably does not need to spell out *less malfeasant challenger parties* (11 times). In an article about experiments, there is no need to specify *experimental* participants, *experimental* treatments, *experimental* results, *experimental* research, and *experimental* design (47 times).

We can also economize by applying modifiers once or as needed. After an author clarifies that she means *information diffusion* (22 times), *socially generated messages* (8 times), or *probabilistic record linkage* (10 times), she can simplify to *diffusion*, *messages*, or *linkage* when it is clear that the modifiers still apply. Consider how we would tell a story about a truck. After we clarify that a man drives a *four-wheel-drive pickup truck*, we would not repeat that he drove the four-wheel-drive pickup truck, turned the four-wheel-drive pickup truck, parked the four-wheel-drive pickup truck, and so on. Once we state the type of truck, we can simply say *truck*, applying modifiers as needed rather than compulsively.

Similarly, we can sever any head that is redundant. Experimenter demand implies an *effect*, an instrumental variable implies an *approach*, and the local economy already has *conditions*. Short phrases also improve: we can rewrite *inequality levels* (54 times) as *inequality*, *voting behavior* (7 times) as *voting*, and *survey instrument* (5 times) as *survey*. Inequality is about levels, voting is a type of behavior, and a survey instrument is simply a survey.

Beyond the noun phrase, needless words circle around an idea that could be stated directly. For instance, the phrase *an*

Nebulous nouns expand noun phrases by requiring too many modifiers. The head of a noun phrase, whenever possible, should be a concept that we can visualize rather than a metaconcept, that is, a concept about concepts such as *level*, *outcome*, *approach*, *model*, *process*, *framework*, *perspective*, *mechanism*, *activity*, *information*, and *context*. Metaconcepts are too wispy to convey the images that ground ideas in experience. Authors reach for modifiers to compensate but as Strunk and White (1959, 68) advise, "The adjective hasn't been built that can pull a weak or inaccurate noun out of a tight place."

For instance, the noun *context* could refer to anything, so it is a baffling choice when the author means *neighborhood*, as in *local residential context*. Once we replace the head with *neighborhood*, we can drop the modifiers. Similarly, *information* is so vague that the writer must add modifiers to narrow the meaning, as in *incumbent performance information*, that is, misspending, and *socially generated information*, to wit, talking. The heads of these phrases need transplants, not band-aids.

Many generic heads bubble-wrap the object that the author has in mind, obscuring its shape and material: *activity* for trade, *resources* for funds, *sentiment* for resentment, *attitudes* for opposition, and *structure* for law or threat. Instead, use the specific noun and put the referent in plain sight. Similarly, we can often replace abstract, polysyllabic modifiers with concrete, concise, and familiar words, such as replacing *endogenous organizational* with *private*, *highly heterogeneous* with *very different*, and *egotropic pocketbook* with *personal finances*.

Notice how nebulous nouns can transform any ordinary idea into academese: Take a concrete idea (such as an apple), refer to it with a broad category (*unit*), and then add modifiers to compensate (*edible seed dispersal unit*). With similar alchemy, we can transmute a forest into a *perennial ecosystem context*, a love letter into *amorous attitude information*, and petting a cat into *feline affection provision*. Nebulous nouns also accumulate when we forgo a specific verb. When discussing people who *engage in criminal behavior*, we could choose the specific verb *commit*, as in people who *commit a crime*. Similarly, we could choose the specific verb *comply*—just two syllables—to replace *adopt external behaviors that are compatible*. And instead of writing that jail sentences *have a negative causal effect on* voting, in the popular jargon of causal inference, we could choose a specific verb that succinctly expresses causation and negative effects: jail sentences *decrease*, *reduce*, *deter*, or *discourage* voting.

Nebulous nouns burden the reader's mind with too many possible meanings. Abstract concepts refer to wide categories with more members than narrower concepts, so the reader may struggle to imagine the scene or may be misled by unintended images. Cognitive psychologists distinguish concepts by their generality: subordinate, basic, and superordinate, such as *starling, bird*, and *animal*, respectively (Rosch et al. 1976). Basic concepts are easier to visualize and remember than superordinate concepts. And people reason more logically with concrete concepts. For instance, the notorious fallacies in Bayesian reasoning (Tversky and Kahneman 1974) disappear when participants judge facts presented in natural frequencies (e.g., "In every 1,000 women, 10 have breast cancer") rather than abstract probabilities (e.g., "The probability that a woman has breast cancer is 0.01") (Gigerenzer and Hoffrage 1995).

Missing Prepositions

Another contributor to stuffy verbiage is the missing preposition (see table A₃ in the online appendix). Instead of jamming nouns and adjectives together, authors can clarify how they are related with prepositions like *of*, *for*, *on*, *by*, *with*, *before*, and *against*.

Gowers advises, "nursery school provision is not at present regarded as a proper way of saying the provision of nursery schools" (1954, 104). In Writing Successfully in Science, O'Connor recommends, "Insert verbs or prepositions between groups of three (or at most four) nouns, or nouns plus adjectives" (1991, 104). Similarly, Walsh recommends, "these modifiers should be framed with a few extra words and moved to the back" (2000, 97).

By using a preposition to move a modifier after the head, the reader learns what the phrase is about before adding modifications. Beginning with the head noun also clarifies ambiguous syntax. The phrase *high- and low-inequality autocratic countries* is slow to understand because the mind must evaluate alternative nestings. But *autocracies with high and low inequality* is clear from beginning to

table A4 in the online appendix). The verb in a sentence brings it to life in the theater of our imagination. As Sword explains, "Verbs power our sentences as surely as muscles propel our bodies" (2016, 5). Vigorous sentences stride with actions such as *reveal*, *punish*, *estimate*, *govern*, and *impede*, even when they describe abstract ideas. Sluggish sentences waste the precious slot for an energizing verb on generic relations like *be*, *have*, *do*, and *make* and then bury the action in static nouns: *revelation*, *punishment*, *estimation*, *governance*, and *impediment*.

A noun derived from a verb is called a nominalization. Nominalizations serve as shorthand for an event that was previously presented (Pinker 2014; Williams 1990). But nominalizations become compulsive among academics and bureaucrats who discuss the same events so many times that they forget readers need to see them played out. Garner calls them "buried verbs" and advises, "Buried verbs ought to be the sworn enemy of every serious writer" (2003, 117). Sword (2012b) calls them "zombie nouns" because "they cannibalize active verbs."

Nominalization turns verbs into more fodder for swelling noun phrases. The phrase *incumbent malfeasance revelation*, for example, stuffs the verb *reveal* inside a noun and jams its object, *malfeasance*, before the nominalization instead of after the verb. Similarly, the phrase *prison-based criminal governance* encases the action *govern*, which forces the cast of characters out of the natural order: leaders govern gangs from prison.

Freeing verbs clears the needless words that grow like weeds around a grave. Instead of writing *there is an empirical contribution in this paper by providing new evidence*, we can unearth the verb *contribute* and simplify to *this paper contributes new evidence*. Instead of *institutions through which public legitimation can be accomplished*, we can free the verb *legitimize*, restore its object, violence, and simplify to *institutions that legitimize violence*.

In general, when writers bury the verb in a noun, the reader must stop to exhume the action, find the subject and object, which are often missing, and then mentally rearrange these concepts to follow the natural order in English: subject, verb, object. We can easily spare readers the trouble by expressing actions with verbs so they can find the subject and object in the standard slots and mentally animate the scene right away.

Baffling Abbreviations

Along with the five remedies for bulky phrases, another should be avoided: abbreviations. Abbreviations represent an unfair bargain:

When writers bury the verb in a noun, a reader must stop to exhume the action, find the subject and object, which are often missing, and then mentally rearrange these concepts to follow the natural order in English: subject, verb, object.

end. The preposition also pinpoints how the modifiers modify the head. In *anti-refugee political engagement*, the reader gropes for what is being engaged; in *political engagement against refugees*, the mystery is solved. Also note the overuse of *-level, anti-, pre-*, and other hyphenated compounds in place of a preposition. A *firm-level field experiment* obscures that the experiment is *on* firms.

Buried Verbs

A final maxim is "Let verbs be verbs"—do not mummify them into nouns with suffixes like *-tion*, *-ication*, *-ment*, *-ing*, and *-ance* (see

the writer saves a few keystrokes while the reader is forced to memorize an arbitrary sequence, pause repeatedly to recall the translation, and backtrack when recall fails. Examples from the present sample include *EDEs* (91 times), *DMA* (43 times), and *SWIID* (12 times). Instead, writers can shorten long phrases with an informative nickname (see plainlanguage.gov, "Minimize Abbreviations"). For example, we could use *demand* for *experimenter demand effects, area* for *designated market area*, and *inequality database* for the *Standardized World Inequality Indicators Database*.

CONCLUSION

A half century before the Plain Writing Act, the British government confronted the obscurity of officialese by inviting Sir Ernest Gowers to compose a writing manual for officials. The book, *Plain Words*, was issued to every department and became a classic of writing style. Prime Minister Winston Churchill, a Nobel Laureate in Literature, championed the cause: "I am in full sympathy with the doctrine laid down by Sir Ernest Gowers" (UK Parliament 1954).

Like the governments it studies, political science depends on writing to communicate accurately and efficiently. Analyzing the pages of the *APSR*, we found more than a thousand ungainly phrases suffering from piled modifiers, needless words, nebulous nouns, missing prepositions, and buried verbs. By revising many examples and explaining how and why we did so, we hope to have shown that these problems are easy to recognize and improve.

Writing is particularly important in the science of politics (Orwell 1946). With clear and precise sentences, political scientists can share their insights about vital issues such as democracy, war, and justice with their professional peers and concerned citizens alike.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for thoughtful comments from Alessandro Del Ponte, Andy Delton, Natalie DeScioli, Greg Huber, Hana Kim, Yanna Krupnikov, Laura Link, Nathan Link, Maxim Massenkoff, John Ryan, and Tim Ryan.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

To view supplementary material for this article, please visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1049096521000810.

REFERENCES

Fowler, H. W. 1926. A Dictionary of Modern English Usage. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

- Garner, Bryan A. 2003. *Garner's Modern American Usage*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Gigerenzer, Gerd, and Ulrich Hoffrage. 1995. "How to Improve Bayesian Reasoning without Instruction: Frequency Formats." *Psychological Review* 102 (4): 684–704.
- Gowers, Sir Ernest. 1954. *The Complete Plain Words*. London: Her Majesty's Stationary Office.
- Grice, Herbert Paul. 1975. "Logic and Conversation." In *Syntax and Semantics*, ed. Peter Cole and Jerry Morgan, 41–58. New York: Academic Press.
- O'Connor, Maeve. 1991. Writing Successfully in Science. New York: Taylor & Francis.
- Orwell, George. 1946. "Politics and the English Language." Horizon 13:252-65.
- Pinker, Steven. 2014. The Sense of Style. New York: Penguin Books.
- Rosch, Eleanor, Carolyn B. Mervis, Wayne D. Gray, David M. Johnson, and Penny Boyes-Braem. 1976. "Basic Objects in Natural Categories." *Cognitive Psychology* 8 (3): 382–439.
- Stageburg, Norman C. 1968. "Structural Ambiguity in the Noun Phrase." *TESOL Quarterly* 2 (4): 232–39.
- Strunk, William, Jr., and E. B. White. 1959. *The Elements of Style*. New York: Macmillan Publishing Co.
- Sword, Helen. 2012a. *Stylish Academic Writing*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Sword, Helen. 2012b. "Zombie Nouns." New York Times, July 23.
- Sword, Helen. 2016. The Writer's Diet: A Guide to Fit Prose. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Tversky, Amos, and Daniel Kahneman. 1974. "Judgment under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases." *Science* 185 (4157): 1124–31.
- Twain, Mark. 1880. "Letter to D. W. Bowser." www.twainquotes.com/19391225.html.
- UK Parliament. 1954. "Departmental Letters (English)." https://api.parliament.uk/ historic-hansard/commons/1954/nov/16/departmental-letters-english.
- Walsh, Bill. 2000. Lapsing into a Comma. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Williams, Joseph. 1990. *Style: Toward Clarity and Grace*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Zinsser, William. 2006. On Writing Well: The Classic Guide to Writing Nonfiction. New York: HarperCollins.

APPENDIX

Tables of Examples

Table A1. Omit needless words

Original	Revised
less malfeasant challenger parties (x11)	challengers
information diffusion $(x22)$	diffusion
networks' coordination and information diffusion	coordination and diffusion
functions	
informal enforcement mechanisms (x5)	informal enforcement
robustly statistically significant conditional effect	conditional effect
post-merge analyses (x18)	analyses
comprehensive simulation studies (x2)	simulations
canonical probabilistic record linkage model	probabilistic matching
instrumental variable approach	instrumental variable
an exacerbated reaction to a contemporaneous trigger	a momentary overreaction
local economic conditions (x41)	local economy
state-owned firm's storage procedures	firm's procedures
could potentially be imposing negative externalities on	could be reducing the quality
the quality	
lengthy and potentially risky semi-public trials	lengthy trials
knowledge-based service sector economy	knowledge economy
experimenter demand effects	experimenter demand
experimental participants / treatments / results / research / design (x47)	participants / treatments / results / research / design
traditional survey experimental designs	survey experiments
conveying demand characteristics to experimental	conveying demand to participants in
participants in laboratory settings	laboratories
borderline statistically significant five-percentage-point increase	nonsignificant difference
greater behavioral opposition	greater opposition
theoretically plausible alternative explanations	theories
while this coercion might take a variety of forms, in the extreme, this manifests in the shuttering of media outlets	this coercion includes shutting down media
potentially valuable extra-local relationships	these relationships
carry a causal interpretation and are consistent with a	indicate that
story of	
it is simply not feasible to expect any individual to develop detailed knowledge about	someone cannot learn the details about
randomization-based research designs (x2)	randomized experiments
naturally-occurring relationship networks	natural networks
unobtrusive, ordinary course-of-business manner	in the ordinary course of business

Table A2. Replace nebulous nouns

Original	Revised
incumbent performance information (x5)	reported misspending
to empirically separate the coordination and diffusion	to test whether networks help voters
mechanisms through which networks moderate the	coordinate or communicate
effects of information provision	
subjective evaluations (x19)	opinions
socially generated (x12) / supplied (x8) / transmitted	messages from peers
(x5) / communicated $(x4)$ information	
private economic activity (x22)	trade
endogenous organizational solutions	private solutions
can be endogenous to societal characteristics	depends on the society
the need to mitigate the adverse effects of social	the need to resolve conflicts in diverse
fragmentation in diverse populations	populations
highly heterogeneous entities	very different
the logical expectation is that transitions to democratic	adopting democracy should profoundly
governance should have profound impacts on	impact inequality
inequality levels	
written into democratic governance structures	written into law
parents may adopt external behaviors that are	parents may comply with an authority's
compatible with an authority's moral demands	demands
ignoring the endogenous part that is driven by	ignoring refugees' preference for friendlier
preexisting differences in attitudes toward refugees	islands
more general anti-immigrant policy preferences and	general opposition to immigrants
attitudes	
local residential context	neighborhood
egotropic pocketbook concerns	personal finances
the level of journalistic resources dedicated to coverage	journalism about local politics
of local politics	
locally tailored politics content	local politics
the ideological valence of their news diet lurched	their news became more conservative
rightward	
contextual effects (x25)	contact with immigrants
of our incentive-based EDE interventions	for the effects of incentives
significant autonomous political resources	independent funds and supporters
political opportunity structure (x11)	threat of punishment
previously suppressed anti-regime sentiments	resentment
Moscow's local coercive leverage	Moscow's threat
have a negative causal effect on	decrease
engage in criminal behavior	commit a crime
prior causally-oriented research	previous experiments
self-selected communication networks (x2)	networks of legislators
individual information constraints	limited knowledge
the self-selection that characterizes the network's	the choice of peers
formation	_

Table A3. Add missing prepositions

Original	Revised
precinct-level electoral returns (x2)	electoral returns by precinct
locality-level network connectedness measures	network connectedness by locality
randomized precinct-level information provision	indicator of the audit reports randomized across
indicator	precincts
information diffusion chain	chain <u>of</u> communication
example socially transmitted messages	examples <u>of</u> messages
heterogeneous migrant communities (x3)	diverse communities of migrants
more frequent and encompassing state-society interactions	more interactions with the state
high- and low-inequality autocratic countries	autocracies with high and low inequality
90th percentile predemocracy inequality level	90th percentile of inequality before democracy
available within-state and across-state migration rates	rates of migration within and across states
anti-immigrant sentiment / attitudes / preferences / policy preferences (x16)	hostility <u>against</u> immigrants
individual-level outcome measures	measures of individuals
anti-refugee political engagement	political engagement <u>against</u> refugees
county-level unemployment rates	unemployment in counties
street-level drug markets	drug markets <u>on</u> the street
firm-level field experiment	field experiment on firms
average participation treatment effect	average effect of participation
cross-station ideological distribution	distribution of ideology across stations
more nationally focused and ideologically conservative coverage	national news with a conservative slant
positive immigration attitudes (x19)	support <u>for</u> immigration
city-level contextual effects	the effect of living in cities
empirical political science subfields (x2)	subfields of political science
that involve substantial researcher-subject interaction	where researchers interact with participants
absolute value percentage-point shifts	the absolute change in percentage points
federal anti-lynching legislation (x4)	federal legislation against lynching
electoral district-level voting results	voting results by district
historical monthly mean air temperature and precipitation data	monthly means for temperature and precipitation
Reich's own coercive food extraction practices	Reich's own confiscation of food
greater and more geographically dispersed coverage	more coverage <u>in</u> a wider area
first-time misdemeanor defendants (x5)	defendants for first-time misdemeanors
pre-arrest voter histories (x2)	voting <u>before</u> the arrest
sufficient courtroom-level sentencing variation	sufficient variation in sentences across courtroom
legislators' relatively unfiltered individual policy priorities	legislators' positions on many policies
bill and legislator-specific indicator variables	indicators for bill and legislator

Table A4. Let verbs be verbs

Original	Revised
incumbent malfeasance <u>revelations</u>	revealing an incumbent's misspending
can facilitate electoral <u>sanctioning</u> by stimulating voter	can <u>sanction</u> politicians by <u>coordinating</u> voter
coordination	
who are more knowledgeable (x6)	who know more
there is an empirical <u>contribution</u> in this paper by	this paper contributes new evidence
providing new evidence	
prevent the identification and punishment of	prevent people from <u>catching</u> and <u>punishing</u>
uncooperative individuals	cheaters
provision of pro-poor public goods	providing public goods to the poor
should be <u>heterogeneous according to</u> (x2)	should <u>depend on</u>
we first conduct a within-state merge for each state	we first merge within each state and then
followed by across-state merges	merge across states
has been identified as one of the key impediments to	impedes
studies have relied on laboratory manipulations in	previous studies manipulated perceptions
perceptions to identify the causal <u>effect</u> of societal discrimination on	to see how discrimination <u>affects</u> immigrants'
the <u>integration decisions</u> of immigrant minorities	decisions to integrate
direct <u>exposure</u> to refugee arrivals induces sizeable and	natives who <u>encounter</u> refugees <u>feel more</u>
lasting <u>increases in natives' hostility</u> toward refugees	hostile toward them
this lacuna is problematic for our <u>theoretical</u>	we do not <u>understand</u>
understanding of	we do not <u>understand</u>
the migrant's <u>decision-making process</u> that determines	how migrants decide
has statistically and politically meaningful <u>effects</u> on	affects
prison-based criminal governance (x2)	how leaders govern gangs from prison
the PCC's consignment-based drug trafficking business,	the gang <u>trafficks</u> drugs by consignment,
its relatively mild and "sympathetic" <u>punishment</u>	<u>punishes</u> misconduct mildly, <u>keeps</u>
regime, and resource-intensive <u>recordkeeping</u> , and	detailed records, and <u>uses</u> profits for
its <u>use</u> of profits for collective benefits	collective benefits
regulatory design process (x10)	designing regulations
substantive <u>change</u> mechanism (x14)	changing policy
our study design involved random assignment of	we randomly <u>assigned</u> firms
sampled firms	ý <u> </u>
for the design, implementation, and interpretation of	for how to design, implement, and interpret
Stalin's coercive agricultural policy and collective	Stalin's campaign to starve and punish
punishment campaign (x2)	dissenters
are <u>dynamic</u> and <u>contingent</u> on a <u>changing</u>	change over time
contemporary context	
spatial and temporal <u>variation</u> in discourse	how discourse varies by place and time
institutions through which public <u>legitimation</u> can be accomplished	institutions that legitimize violence
identifies the <u>contagion effect</u> of the treatment on subjects who are exposed to it through a peer	tests whether legislators learn from peers

The full Appendix is attached. Double click here: